

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 1 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
SONOMA CITY	\$1,016,943	\$1,016,943	100.00
DEL REY OAKS	\$555,807	\$555,807	100.00
LIVERMORE	\$362,900	\$362,900	100.00
BRAWLEY	\$297,776	\$297,776	100.00
LA PUENTE	\$202,825	\$202,825	100.00
SIERRA MADRE	\$155,513	\$155,513	100.00
HOLTVILLE	\$99,623	\$99,623	100.00
MARYSVILLE	\$95,207	\$95,207	100.00
SAN GABRIEL	\$50,390	\$50,390	100.00
SOLANA BEACH	\$22,099	\$22,099	100.00
AUBURN	\$10,765	\$10,765	100.00
KINGSBURG	\$4,278	\$4,278	100.00
WASCO	\$3,335	\$3,335	100.00
LIVINGSTON	\$2,876	\$2,876	100.00
TIBURON	\$2,500	\$2,500	100.00
MENDOTA	\$329,969	\$329,847	99.96
TULARE COUNTY	\$502,068	\$490,099	97.62
DUARTE	\$207,088	\$197,817	95.52
CORCORAN	\$385,815	\$366,660	95.04
ALBANY	\$398,276	\$374,727	94.09
HANFORD	\$326,530	\$302,297	92.58
YUCAIPA	\$160,592	\$148,575	92.52
CHOWCHILLA	\$296,716	\$273,516	92.18
GALT	\$452,799	\$414,949	91.64
ARCATA	\$161,382	\$146,382	90.71
FULLERTON	\$1,177,941	\$1,052,900	89.38
GUADALUPE	\$272,159	\$227,951	83.76
LA HABRA	\$288,196	\$238,196	82.65
IMPERIAL BEACH	\$1,542,830	\$1,233,139	79.93
GLENDORA	\$4,171,113	\$3,279,228	78.62
SAN JOAQUIN CITY	\$55,498	\$43,583	78.53

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
REEDLEY	\$276,282	\$214,425	77.61
COMPTON	\$1,261,837	\$976,157	77.36
CERRITOS	\$2,266,265	\$1,751,855	77.30
SANTA PAULA	\$370,715	\$274,244	73.98
HUGHSON	\$147,888	\$107,352	72.59
COSTA MESA	\$565,355	\$408,763	72.30
SOUTH PASADENA	\$130,912	\$91,540	69.92
RIO VISTA	\$120,274	\$79,910	66.44
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	\$1,652,265	\$1,078,291	65.26
NEWMAN	\$137,992	\$86,207	62.47
SHASTA LAKE	\$649,610	\$397,140	61.14
SHAFTER	\$617,909	\$372,902	60.35
VICTORVILLE	\$225,638	\$135,737	60.16
TRACY	\$839,005	\$501,297	59.75
MARCH AIRFORCE BASE	\$120,344	\$71,168	59.14
TWENTYNINE PALMS	\$61,021	\$34,460	56.47
CALEXICO	\$1,500,693	\$813,221	54.19
SCOTTS VALLEY	\$246,849	\$130,372	52.81
CITRUS HEIGHTS	\$250,865	\$130,160	51.88
ALAMEDA COUNTY	\$364,586	\$188,237	51.63
LA PALMA	\$557,330	\$280,551	50.34
TULARE CITY	\$992,104	\$482,777	48.66
CLOVERDALE	\$577,491	\$280,767	48.62
SAN MATEO CITY	\$1,841,513	\$893,272	48.51
CHINO	\$1,909,653	\$909,114	47.61
AGOURA HILLS	\$1,592,881	\$749,342	47.04
ANDERSON	\$130,398	\$61,014	46.79
LAKEWOOD	\$796,446	\$369,844	46.44
CLAREMONT	\$534,464	\$237,738	44.48
SAN BRUNO	\$1,222,539	\$541,499	44.29
COACHELLA	\$1,602,963	\$706,740	44.09

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 3 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
MONROVIA	\$1,936,564	\$843,661	43.56
ROSEMEAD	\$439,359	\$189,359	43.10
PARLIER	\$337,055	\$138,901	41.21
DAVIS	\$1,223,933	\$497,582	40.65
CAPITOLA	\$302,603	\$122,367	40.44
PITTSBURG	\$4,136,321	\$1,646,049	39.80
SANGER	\$235,963	\$92,829	39.34
BRENTWOOD	\$502,260	\$191,869	38.20
WEST COVINA	\$4,026,990	\$1,528,403	37.95
MENLO PARK	\$1,707,201	\$633,473	37.11
BIG BEAR LAKE	\$2,156,324	\$794,779	36.86
GARDEN GROVE	\$3,360,678	\$1,199,215	35.68
SAN FERNANDO	\$3,460,004	\$1,232,365	35.62
ALHAMBRA	\$914,725	\$322,877	35.30
OXNARD	\$2,953,332	\$1,008,097	34.13
HUNTINGTON PARK	\$1,910,678	\$651,989	34.12
SIMI VALLEY	\$5,850,316	\$1,985,748	33.94
RIDGECREST	\$911,329	\$307,881	33.78
VENTURA COUNTY	\$55,888	\$18,838	33.71
MARINA	\$956,797	\$317,837	33.22
LAKEPORT	\$591,149	\$185,569	31.39
ORANGE CITY	\$3,347,891	\$1,049,718	31.35
STANISLAUS-CERES	\$29,976	\$9,198	30.68
EL CENTRO	\$1,305,852	\$397,608	30.45
RICHMOND	\$4,780,730	\$1,450,151	30.33
EL CAJON	\$1,843,191	\$557,923	30.27
LOS ANGELES COUNTY	\$897,782	\$269,813	30.05
COTATI	\$489,750	\$143,569	29.31
MONTEREY COUNTY	\$1,383,242	\$404,466	29.24
PORTERVILLE	\$249,113	\$72,224	28.99
HEMET	\$4,413,490	\$1,254,943	28.43

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
HOLLISTER	\$3,442,460	\$977,924	28.41
SAN CARLOS	\$1,687,209	\$474,937	28.15
TEHACHAPI	\$311,653	\$87,197	27.98
AVALON	\$723,782	\$200,787	27.74
GREENFIELD	\$631,337	\$173,215	27.44
TRUCKEE	\$450,472	\$122,072	27.10
MURRIETA	\$2,083,627	\$562,737	27.01
DELANO	\$1,250,863	\$335,944	26.86
GRASS VALLEY	\$408,431	\$108,431	26.55
LONG BEACH	\$16,352,855	\$4,298,840	26.29
OAKLEY	\$397,695	\$104,046	26.16
CALIPATRIA	\$34,966	\$9,022	25.80
PASADENA	\$4,257,994	\$1,091,932	25.64
INDIAN WELLS	\$9,100,210	\$2,275,039	25.00
SAN RAMON	\$4,073,163	\$1,018,078	24.99
BURBANK	\$6,010,472	\$1,484,846	24.70
SONOMA COUNTY	\$782,768	\$188,882	24.13
MERCED CITY	\$2,324,070	\$554,697	23.87
FREMONT	\$4,720,787	\$1,123,883	23.81
NOVATO	\$2,498,072	\$590,877	23.65
VALLEJO	\$665,336	\$156,634	23.54
PARADISE	\$177,043	\$41,579	23.49
HERCULES	\$4,477,507	\$1,041,080	23.25
PASO ROBLES	\$3,246,305	\$749,610	23.09
LA VERNE	\$4,081,729	\$931,220	22.81
PLACENTIA	\$996,785	\$225,206	22.59
CORONA	\$4,375,403	\$978,801	22.37
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	\$1,538,132	\$343,697	22.35
FORTUNA	\$232,160	\$51,861	22.34
UKIAH	\$1,500,167	\$332,629	22.17
SAN RAFAEL	\$1,064,467	\$234,589	22.04

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 5 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
WEST SACRAMENTO	\$5,111,944	\$1,122,975	21.97
SANTA BARBARA CITY	\$4,189,648	\$917,603	21.90
BRISBANE	\$548,307	\$117,325	21.40
ATWATER	\$365,715	\$78,030	21.34
PETALUMA	\$2,276,676	\$483,786	21.25
WALNUT CREEK	\$1,089,395	\$228,748	21.00
PORT HUENEME	\$2,695,651	\$564,838	20.95
EL MONTE	\$1,966,652	\$403,753	20.53
OAKDALE	\$597,596	\$122,231	20.45
TURLOCK	\$810,108	\$164,830	20.35
ALAMEDA CITY	\$1,736,480	\$352,638	20.31
EUREKA	\$2,030,029	\$412,027	20.30
MONTEREY CITY	\$2,368,901	\$480,117	20.27
SAN JACINTO	\$1,421,191	\$287,653	20.24
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	\$128,208	\$25,930	20.22
CERES	\$1,135,168	\$229,465	20.21
SAN BERNARDINO CITY	\$7,163,656	\$1,437,362	20.06
REDONDO BEACH	\$1,402,400	\$279,057	19.90
ONTARIO	\$10,829,865	\$2,152,573	19.88
ESCONDIDO	\$2,206,723	\$434,678	19.70
PLACER COUNTY	\$3,085,464	\$606,823	19.67
LEMOORE	\$1,003,843	\$195,183	19.44
ARCADIA	\$684,072	\$132,982	19.44
HUNTINGTON BEACH	\$4,048,201	\$777,251	19.20
MOORPARK	\$2,009,928	\$378,324	18.82
HEALDSBURG	\$2,148,328	\$401,690	18.70
LA QUINTA	\$12,070,589	\$2,239,765	18.56
SAN FRANCISCO	\$97,601,442	\$17,926,403	18.37
REDLANDS	\$4,146,618	\$755,893	18.23
HIGHLAND	\$2,052,760	\$370,830	18.06
HAWAIIAN GARDENS	\$247,757	\$44,598	18.00

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
SAN CLEMENTE	\$1,308,609	\$235,148	17.97
THOUSAND OAKS	\$4,623,911	\$828,464	17.92
MORGAN HILL	\$8,140,803	\$1,456,134	17.89
OJAI	\$734,442	\$131,337	17.88
SAN LEANDRO	\$3,522,520	\$618,746	17.57
FILLMORE	\$3,227,884	\$564,517	17.49
MANTECA	\$3,089,224	\$528,985	17.12
ROCKLIN	\$2,585,760	\$441,436	17.07
SEASIDE	\$1,212,904	\$206,168	17.00
MOUNTAIN VIEW	\$731,000	\$124,000	16.96
LA MIRADA	\$2,142,354	\$363,288	16.96
KERMAN	\$425,890	\$72,146	16.94
WESTMINSTER	\$7,073,568	\$1,193,197	16.87
SANTA CLARA CITY	\$6,418,666	\$1,072,963	16.72
LAKE FOREST	\$908,961	\$148,825	16.37
BREA	\$6,215,712	\$992,160	15.96
CALIMESA	\$457,437	\$72,729	15.90
BELMONT	\$3,585,458	\$565,013	15.76
OROVILLE	\$1,538,466	\$240,746	15.65
REDDING	\$4,893,477	\$759,202	15.51
CULVER CITY	\$14,447,243	\$2,230,568	15.44
SIGNAL HILL	\$1,664,215	\$256,293	15.40
SAN DIEGO COUNTY	\$109,635	\$16,870	15.39
SANTA ANA	\$14,092,066	\$2,106,343	14.95
WINDSOR/SONOMA	\$344,369	\$51,035	14.82
PARAMOUNT	\$1,591,166	\$235,334	14.79
VISTA	\$9,054,287	\$1,334,589	14.74
SAN BUENAVENTURA	\$1,373,878	\$200,104	14.56
FIREBAUGH	\$287,354	\$41,583	14.47
GOLETA	\$718,309	\$103,757	14.44
MONTEREY PARK	\$5,323,645	\$766,417	14.40

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 7 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
ANTIOCH	\$1,574,430	\$223,783	14.21
MORENO VALLEY	\$6,394,493	\$897,818	14.04
FOLSOM	\$3,268,715	\$452,964	13.86
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	\$25,772,067	\$3,543,913	13.75
GRAND TERRACE	\$1,930,555	\$264,912	13.72
VACAVILLE	\$7,819,428	\$1,068,375	13.66
CUDAHY	\$462,983	\$63,094	13.63
LOMPOC	\$1,464,655	\$198,430	13.55
SALINAS	\$2,205,865	\$296,783	13.45
OAKLAND	\$29,026,635	\$3,731,882	12.86
SOLEDAD	\$1,347,998	\$172,550	12.80
PALMDALE	\$18,458,309	\$2,358,575	12.78
CLOVIS	\$3,921,732	\$492,969	12.57
ORANGE COVE	\$89,703	\$11,251	12.54
MADERA CITY	\$3,005,685	\$374,338	12.45
LOMA LINDA	\$7,424,644	\$924,021	12.45
WATSONVILLE	\$3,271,510	\$396,287	12.11
REDWOOD CITY	\$4,968,895	\$597,350	12.02
EL CERRITO	\$2,789,159	\$332,165	11.91
NORWALK	\$959,461	\$114,174	11.90
LINCOLN	\$1,215,196	\$143,212	11.79
WILLITS	\$512,137	\$59,824	11.68
CHULA VISTA	\$4,863,271	\$565,365	11.63
SANTEE	\$6,654,999	\$773,623	11.62
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	\$13,855,921	\$1,609,674	11.62
SOUTH EL MONTE	\$1,468,986	\$167,354	11.39
BELL	\$1,987,608	\$220,347	11.09
LYNWOOD	\$5,043,623	\$555,915	11.02
FORT BRAGG	\$1,049,062	\$114,526	10.92
EXETER	\$478,078	\$51,719	10.82
CYPRESS	\$1,407,455	\$152,056	10.80

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
POWAY	\$9,920,274	\$1,070,596	10.79
ARROYO GRANDE	\$1,241,511	\$132,819	10.70
LOS ANGELES CITY	\$69,709,000	\$7,374,000	10.58
UPLAND	\$5,216,654	\$550,415	10.55
COVINA	\$6,411,513	\$665,558	10.38
DIXON	\$738,272	\$76,047	10.30
ANAHEIM	\$19,085,000	\$1,957,000	10.25
MILLBRAE	\$2,101,711	\$213,247	10.15
CARLSBAD	\$1,128,071	\$114,071	10.11
FAIRFIELD	\$11,274,201	\$1,135,896	10.08
INDIO	\$5,771,786	\$579,091	10.03
PLEASANT HILL	\$3,722,338	\$373,447	10.03
INGLEWOOD	\$5,075,479	\$506,213	9.97
WOODLAKE	\$158,517	\$15,800	9.97
BELLFLOWER	\$748,923	\$73,265	9.78
DESERT HOT SPRINGS	\$7,816,317	\$761,609	9.74
SANTA CRUZ CITY	\$2,005,957	\$193,500	9.65
WEST HOLLYWOOD	\$262,794	\$24,910	9.48
GLENDALE	\$14,320,696	\$1,339,779	9.36
CONCORD	\$5,047,979	\$466,329	9.24
PERRIS	\$11,435,596	\$1,037,099	9.07
SANTA FE SPRINGS	\$5,595,691	\$506,896	9.06
APPLE VALLEY	\$426,948	\$38,176	8.94
FOSTER CITY	\$6,766,127	\$598,913	8.85
TEMPLE CITY	\$409,104	\$36,040	8.81
EAST PALO ALTO	\$3,567,098	\$312,106	8.75
BARSTOW	\$1,251,110	\$109,458	8.75
CATHEDRAL CITY	\$17,224,739	\$1,483,724	8.61
LANCASTER	\$37,742,453	\$3,245,191	8.60
COALINGA	\$1,386,846	\$116,019	8.37
TAFT	\$79,926	\$6,630	8.30

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
MONTCLAIR	\$3,666,194	\$296,737	8.09
HESPERIA	\$6,333,399	\$498,978	7.88
LOS GATOS	\$5,362,334	\$415,563	7.75
CARSON	\$18,046,683	\$1,393,776	7.72
MILPITAS	\$19,629,759	\$1,503,635	7.66
ARVIN	\$1,177,164	\$89,926	7.64
ORANGE COUNTY	\$4,244,147	\$323,864	7.63
TEMECULA	\$12,931,872	\$980,243	7.58
SONORA	\$581,182	\$43,709	7.52
COMMERCE	\$1,380,713	\$102,479	7.42
MODESTO	\$1,486,287	\$109,163	7.34
WINTERS	\$1,843,698	\$135,218	7.33
BALDWIN PARK	\$1,439,580	\$105,038	7.30
RANCHO CORDOVA	\$968,111	\$70,480	7.28
IRWINDALE	\$9,280,663	\$649,240	7.00
RIPON	\$3,792,320	\$262,671	6.93
PINOLE	\$5,660,337	\$390,550	6.90
LAFAYETTE	\$1,390,498	\$95,496	6.87
GROVER BEACH	\$779,362	\$53,212	6.83
OCEANSIDE	\$2,549,249	\$173,697	6.81
MISSION VIEJO	\$2,155,059	\$144,900	6.72
SACRAMENTO CITY	\$21,332,477	\$1,399,910	6.56
NATIONAL CITY	\$1,497,108	\$97,081	6.48
RIVERSIDE CITY	\$24,878,005	\$1,604,388	6.45
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	\$5,861,026	\$375,491	6.41
HAYWARD	\$6,612,984	\$411,640	6.22
CHICO	\$9,017,114	\$560,314	6.21
CORONADO	\$4,864,410	\$300,948	6.19
SAN JOSE	\$155,105,255	\$9,558,169	6.16
LAKE COUNTY	\$642,077	\$39,288	6.12
IMPERIAL CITY	\$781,972	\$47,456	6.07

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 10 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
ROSEVILLE	\$2,474,704	\$149,908	6.06
SANTA ROSA	\$6,322,363	\$371,230	5.87
DOWNEY	\$1,895,409	\$109,298	5.77
SEBASTOPOL	\$128,913	\$7,344	5.70
STOCKTON	\$7,756,322	\$439,484	5.67
PALM DESERT	\$36,014,480	\$2,039,231	5.66
GONZALES	\$484,790	\$26,542	5.47
DALY CITY	\$557,893	\$29,894	5.36
LOS BANOS	\$2,037,254	\$106,831	5.24
YORBA LINDA	\$10,628,862	\$557,005	5.24
PALM SPRINGS	\$5,747,473	\$295,690	5.14
BANNING	\$2,619,236	\$131,490	5.02
UNION CITY	\$7,113,565	\$356,311	5.01
CAMPBELL	\$4,294,883	\$214,634	5.00
MONTEBELLO	\$1,758,247	\$87,585	4.98
RIALTO	\$11,182,232	\$542,843	4.85
YUBA CITY	\$3,054,043	\$141,485	4.63
ARTESIA	\$2,195,755	\$100,810	4.59
SELMA	\$128,162	\$5,785	4.51
PISMO BEACH	\$1,604,242	\$70,606	4.40
WATERFORD	\$65,630	\$2,886	4.40
VISALIA	\$2,273,919	\$99,163	4.36
SAN DIEGO CITY	\$68,367,070	\$2,873,832	4.20
SAN PABLO	\$4,015,236	\$167,839	4.18
SAN DIMAS	\$5,748,861	\$238,383	4.15
NORCO	\$11,319,141	\$458,814	4.05
YUCCA VALLEY	\$731,061	\$29,083	3.98
EMERYVILLE	\$19,956,180	\$789,634	3.96
SANTA CLARITA	\$6,303,402	\$244,992	3.89
COLTON	\$3,726,902	\$142,778	3.83
SUISUN CITY	\$12,882,227	\$486,497	3.78

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
FRESNO CITY	\$8,061,338	\$285,715	3.54
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	\$4,947,808	\$166,664	3.37
ATASCADERO	\$1,377,946	\$42,624	3.09
SACRAMENTO COUNTY	\$9,039,893	\$276,572	3.06
BUENA PARK	\$16,384,041	\$472,243	2.88
WHITTIER	\$6,468,156	\$182,014	2.81
PICO RIVERA	\$2,411,786	\$66,308	2.75
SAN MARCOS	\$39,956,959	\$1,079,309	2.70
CLAYTON	\$1,418,945	\$36,573	2.58
LA MESA	\$2,165,415	\$53,353	2.46
FONTANA	\$37,654,929	\$852,165	2.26
DINUBA	\$2,557,901	\$53,618	2.10
RIVERBANK	\$2,703,473	\$53,013	1.96
ROHNERT PARK	\$3,340,044	\$60,361	1.81
VICTOR VALLEY	\$8,694,226	\$146,416	1.68
LEMON GROVE	\$1,996,533	\$32,966	1.65
TUSTIN	\$29,142,916	\$458,514	1.57
RANCHO PALOS VERDES	\$3,154,601	\$46,055	1.46
SANTA MONICA	\$12,942,184	\$154,029	1.19
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	\$48,323,127	\$565,148	1.17
DANVILLE	\$952,957	\$11,071	1.16
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	\$2,873,026	\$32,635	1.14
MAYWOOD	\$1,674,028	\$18,771	1.12
POMONA	\$9,894,694	\$106,936	1.08
AZUSA	\$8,443,821	\$84,066	1.00
STANTON	\$8,199,105	\$80,209	0.98
HAWTHORNE	\$3,952,253	\$33,648	0.85
RANCHO MIRAGE	\$17,503,280	\$122,235	0.70
CAMARILLO	\$2,400,769	\$15,312	0.64
LAKE ELSINORE	\$17,730,204	\$100,000	0.56
BAKERSFIELD	\$5,804,488	\$30,148	0.52

03/31/2011

California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2009/2010
Low-Mod Fund Planning and Administration Costs Compared to Total Agency Expenses
Listed in Order of Highest Percentage

Exhibit C-8
Page 12 of 12

Redevelopment Agency	Agency Total Expenditures	Planning & Administration Total Cost	Percent of Planning & Administration Compared to Total Expenses
INLAND VALLEY	\$8,284,284	\$40,154	0.48
CALIFORNIA CITY	\$3,044,475	\$10,772	0.35
LAWNDALE	\$818,752	\$2,799	0.34
SOUTH GATE	\$1,348,652	\$2,738	0.20
KING CITY	\$683,270	\$710	0.10
TORRANCE	\$6,084,852	\$4,009	0.07
WALNUT	\$2,179,652	\$1,087	0.05
LINDSAY	\$253,946	\$50	0.02
INDUSTRY	\$18,223,502	\$0	0.00
SAND CITY	\$1,393,092	\$0	0.00
BLYTHE	\$1,345,782	\$0	0.00
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	\$1,284,056	\$0	0.00
NAPA CITY	\$1,099,917	\$0	0.00
SEAL BEACH	\$822,796	\$0	0.00
FOWLER	\$435,434	\$0	0.00
BERKELEY	\$359,229	\$0	0.00
MARIN COUNTY	\$340,671	\$0	0.00
FARMERSVILLE	\$322,421	\$0	0.00
CRESCENT CITY	\$307,396	\$0	0.00
AVENAL	\$225,964	\$0	0.00
HURON	\$201,241	\$0	0.00
PACIFICA	\$17,930	\$0	0.00
Statewide Totals:	\$1,841,064,375	\$203,207,120	11.04 %

Total Agencies Statewide Contributing to this report: 363